Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary
Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

1. Date of Submission:	4/10/2009
2. Agency:	Department of State
3. Bureau:	Ca/Cst Office Of Consular Systems Technology
4. Name of this Capital Asset:	Exhibit 300 - Passport Modernization System
5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)	014-00-01-03-01-1206-00
6. What kind of investment will this be in FY 2010? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY 2010, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY 2010 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)	Mixed Life Cycle

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:

The mission of the Passport Modernization investment is to provide the contracts and staff that design, build, install and maintain the software and hardware supporting the acceptance and adjudication of United States (US) citizen travel document applications and the production of US travel documents. Passport Modernization develops and sustains the technology infrastructure that binds and integrates each of the domestic passport agencies and centers. Passport Modernization provides optimal information technology (IT) support that adheres to international travel document standards with respect to both security and privacy while simultaneously employing technology that aids in DoS's goal of increasing citizen services while reducing the potential for fraud.

Passport Modernization is comprised of the hardware required to process travel document applications and securely personalize travel documents, and nine major, custom built, independent software systems: Travel Document Issuance System (TDIS), TDIS Inquiry, Passport Records Imaging System Management (PRISM), Passport Information Electronic Records System (PIERS), Passport Lookout Tracking System (PLOTS), the Online Passport Status Service (OPSS), the Management Information System (MIS) and a Front End Processor (FEP). Collectively, these systems and infrastructure are known as Passport Modernization and support the batch-centric, decentralized production of travel documents at all 18 domestic agencies and centers, as defined by CA/PPT. Without this investment, DoS would be unable to issue travel document to US citizens.

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?	Yes
a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?	7/14/2008
10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?	Yes
12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project?	Yes
a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?	Yes
b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)	No
1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?	
2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?	
3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?	
13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives?	Yes
If "yes," check all that apply:	Expanded E-Government
a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service	This investment directly supports the PMA's goal of Expanded E-Government by: collaborating with industry and agencies to develop secure, IT-infused travel

provider or the managing partner?)	documents and border security solutions; supporting data sharing and system consolidation with other agencies; reducing US Government IT costs through system consolidation; and providing web-based services to citizens.
14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.)	No
a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review?	No
b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program?	
c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?	
15. Is this investment for information technology?	Yes

If the answer to Question 15 is "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below. If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16-23.

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)	Level 2
17. In addition to the answer in 11(a), what project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)	(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment
18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2008 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)	No
19. Is this a financial management system?	No
a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?	No
1. If "yes," which compliance area:	No
2. If "no," what does it address?	

b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?	N/A
23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?	Yes

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond the next President's Budget.

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	**Strategic Goal(s) Supported**	**Measurement Area**	**Measurement Category**	**Measurement Grouping**	**Measurement Indicator**	**Baseline**	**Target**	**Actual Results**
2005	Anytime/Anywhere Computing: Foreign Affairs	Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Satisfaction	% of Posts able to check passport status	0% of the 280+ Posts currently have the ability	Have 25% of Posts be able to access passport	Q4FY05 Status: Goal Exceeded: 100% of posts

Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	Professionals on the Move				electronically.	to check the status of an applicant&

Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
							WashingtonQ4 - Chicago	(Chicago, Washington, NPC, NO, Houston, CN & SIA).
2006	Work Practices and Workforce: Leading Change	Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Innovation and Improvement	% of Agencies capable of reading a 2D Barcode Passport application form, Percentage of Courier services capable of generating the 2D form, Implementing the 2D form on the publics website.	0% of Agencies are currenlty capable of reading a 2D Barcode Passport application	100% of agencies can read the form, 100% of courier services can generate the form, web portal for citizens is enabled with the new form.	Q1FY06 Status: Goal Achieved. 100% of agencies can read the 2D forms. Each agency has at least one station capable of reading the form. 100% of courier services can also produce the 2D form through the web portal.
2006		Technology	Efficiency	Technology Improvement	# of external agencies added to data sharing program.	Current list of data share external agencies.2005 Data Share list is:DHS, Census, FBI.	Modify Passport systems/interfac es to allow data sharing w/1 new Federal, State, or Local agencies annually	Q4FY06 Status: Goal Achieved. Datasharing w/ GPO operational.
2007	Strengthening Consular and Management Capabilities	Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Access	% of citizens that can determine the status of their application online.	0% of customers can currently determine that status of their passport application online	100% of citizens can determine the status of their passport applications online	GOAL ACHIEVED Q1 FY07: Through OPSS, 100% of citizens can determine the status of their application online
2007	Strengthening Consular and Management Capabilities	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	Information Sharing	# of external agencies able to view real time passport data	Currently, 0 external agencies have access to real time passport data	Allow 1 external agency acsess to real time passport data	Goal Achieved: Q2FY07: DHS can currently access PIERS in real time at border security facilities
2007	Strengthening Consular and Management Capabilities	Processes and Activities	Productivity and Efficiency	Efficiency	% of Passport systems and facilities capable of handling a travel card.	0% of Passport agencies are currently able to produce an alternative travel document	50% of passport systems and agencies capble of handling an alternative travel document to the US Passport	GOAL ACHIEVED: Q2 FY07: 100% of passport agencies and systesm are capable of adjudicating a passport card application. A procurement is underway to obtain the hardware needed to personalize and produce passport cards.
2007	Work Practices and Workforce: Leading Change	Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	1) # of passport systems with full COOP implemented2) All systems modified to handle a central adjudication process.	DESIGN/DEVELO PMENT:1. There is not a full and comprehensive COOP/Disaster recovery capability implemented for all Passport systems;2. System design cannot handle centralization of the adjudication function without modification.	1. All Passport systems have a full COOP/Disaster recovery capability implemented; 2. System enhancements have begun roll-out which centralize the adjudication function.	Q2 FY07: All 17 Passport Agencies and all 7 sub-systems have COOP implemented. Through the BPC, central adjudication and passport processing is possible. CST is currently updating its COOP/disaster recovery policies
2007		Technology	Efficiency	Accessibility	# of agencies who received refreshed HW.	Hardware at 4 agencies will be at end of life-cycle and require refresh.	HW at 4 PPT agencies will be refreshed.	GOAL ACHIEVED Q3 FY07: Hardware refreshesses have been conducted at 4 agencies, including: New

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	**Strategic Goal(s) Supported**	**Measurement Area**	**Measurement Category**	**Measurement Grouping**	**Measurement Indicator**	**Baseline**	**Target**	**Actual Results**
								Orleans, Houston, and the National Passport Center.
2008	Strengthening Consular and Management Capabilities	Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Satisfaction	# of travel documents avialable to citizens	Currently, one 1 travel document, the US passport, is available to citizens	Increase the number of travel documents available to citizens by 1 (introduce the Passport Card for people traveling in the Western Hemisphere)	GOAL ACHIEVED: Production of the Passport Card began July 2008
2008	Strengthening Consular and Management Capabilities	Mission and Business Results	Homeland Security	Border and Transportation Security	# of datasharing agreements with external agecies	Datasharing agreements currently exist with: DHS, FBI, SSA and GPO	Enter into a datasharing agreement with 1 additional external agency	GOAL ACHIEVED: A new datasharing agreement has been established with DHS to support the Passport Card. DHS will be able to access records via the CCS in the field
2008	Strengthening Consular and Management Capabilities	Processes and Activities	Quality	Complaints	% of agencies using remote issuance to realign travel document work loads	Currently 0% of agencies can use remote issuance tools to automatically shift work to disparate agencies. Workload shift occur on a weekly basis	100% of agencies can use remote issuance tool to best align travel document production against capacity	GOAL ACHIEVED Q2 FY08: Remote adjudication functionality has been implemented across the Passport Enterprise.
2008		Technology	Efficiency	Accessibility	# of agencies whose HW has been refreshed or replaced	Currently 4 agencies are scheduled for HW refreshes in FY 08	4 required agencies refreshed by Q4 FY 08	GOAL ACHIEVED Q3FY08: 4 agencies have undergone hardware refreshes, inlcuding, BO, LA, HH and CH
2009	Strengthening Consular and Management Capabilities	Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Access	% of lost and/or stolen travel documents that are reported to DoS via the internet	0% of lost and/or stolen travle dcouments are reported to DoS via the internet	2% of lost and/or stolen travel documents are reported to DoS via the internet	TBD quarterly
2009	Strengthening Consular and Management Capabilities	Mission and Business Results	Homeland Security	Border and Transportation Security	% increase in fraudulent US travel documents discovered at land borders	2008 numbers for fraudulent US travel documents discovered at land borders	5% increase in the number of fraudulent US travel documents discovered at the US border	TBD quarterly
2009	Strengthening Consular and Management Capabilities	Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Privacy	% decrease in number of unauthorized viewings of Passport Modernization records	2008 numbers for unauthorized viewings of Passport Modernization records	10% decrease in the number of unauthorized viewings of Passport Modernization records	TBD quarterly
2009	Strengthening Consular and Management Capabilities	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Availability	% availability of Passport Modernization system	Availability of Passport Modernization system is currently 99%	Maintain 99% availability of Passport Modernization	TBD quarterly
2009	Strengthening Consular and Management Capabilities	Technology	Technology Costs	Operations and Maintenance Costs	% decrease in operations and maintenance costs	2008 Passport Modernization operations and maintenance costs	2% decrease in operations and maintenance costs	TBD quarterly

Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets only)

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:

(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
Front End Processor (FEP)	No	No	This system does not collect personal information from or about the public	Yes	http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/102790.pdf
Management Information System (MIS)	No	No	This system does not collect personal information from or about the public	Yes	http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/102790.pdf
Online Passport Lost and Stolen Service (OPLSS)	Yes	Yes	http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/103519.pdf	Yes	http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/102790.pdf
Online Passport Status Service(OPSS)	No	Yes	http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/94756.pdf	Yes	http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/102790.pdf
Passport Information Electronic Records System (PIERS)	No	Yes	http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/96122.pdf	Yes	http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/102790.pdf
Passport Lookout Tracking System (PLOTS)	No	Yes	http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/109088.pdf	Yes	http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/102790.pdf
Passport Records Imaging System Management (PRISM)	No	Yes	http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/96122.pdf	Yes	http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/102790.pdf
Travel Document Issuance System (TDIS)	No	Yes	http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/109087.pdf	Yes	http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/102790.pdf

Details for Text Options:
Column (d): If yes to (c), provide the link(s) to the publicly posted PIA(s) with which this system is associated. If no to (c), provide an explanation why the PIA has not been publicly posted or why the PIA has not been conducted.

Column (f): If yes to (e), provide the link(s) to where the current and up to date SORN(s) is published in the federal register. If no to (e), provide an explanation why the SORN has not been published or why there isn't a current and up to date SORN.

Note: Working links must be provided to specific documents not general privacy websites. Non-working links will be considered as a blank field.

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?	Yes
a. If "no," please explain why?	
2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?	Yes
a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.	Passport Modernization
b. If "no," please explain why?	

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
Computer/Automation Management	Support the identification, upgrade allocation and replacement of physical devices including servers and desktops used to facilitate process driven activities.	Back Office Services	Asset / Materials Management	Computers / Automation Management			No Reuse	
Data Exchange	Support the interchange of information between multiple systems or applications; includes verification that transmitted data was received unaltered	Back Office Services	Data Management	Data Exchange			No Reuse	
Data Mart	Support a subset of a data warehouse for a single department or function within an organization	Back Office Services	Data Management	Data Mart			No Reuse	
Data Recovery	Support the restoration and stabilization of data sets to a consistent, desired state	Back Office Services	Data Management	Data Recovery			No Reuse	
Data Warehouse	Support the archiving and storage of large volumes of data	Back Office Services	Data Management	Data Warehouse			No Reuse	
Loading and Archiving	Support the population of a data source with external data	Back Office Services	Data Management	Loading and Archiving			No Reuse	
Meta Data Management	Support the maintenance and administration of data that describes data	Back Office Services	Data Management	Meta Data Management			No Reuse	
Data Integration	Support the organization of data from separate data sources into a single source using middleware or application integration as well as the modification of system data models to capture new information within a single system	Back Office Services	Development and Integration	Data Integration			No Reuse	
Instrumentation and Testing	Support the validation of application or system capabilities and requirements	Back Office Services	Development and Integration	Instrumentation and Testing			No Reuse	
Software Development	Support the creation of both graphical and process application or system software	Back Office Services	Development and Integration	Software Development			No Reuse	
Configuration Management	Control the hardware and	Business Management	Management of Processes	Configuration Management			No Reuse	

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
	software environments, as well as documents of an organization	Services						
Program / Project Management	Manage and control a particular effort of an organization	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Program / Project Management			No Reuse	
Requirements Management	Gather, analyze and fulfill the needs and prerequisites of an organization's efforts	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Requirements Management			No Reuse	
Customer / Account Management	Support the retention and delivery of a service or product to an organization's clients	Customer Services	Customer Relationship Management	Customer / Account Management			No Reuse	
Information Mapping / Taxonomy	Support the creation and maintenance of relationships between data entities, naming standards and categorization	Digital Asset Services	Knowledge Management	Information Mapping / Taxonomy			No Reuse	
Information Retrieval	Allow access to data and information for use by an organization and its stakeholders	Digital Asset Services	Knowledge Management	Information Retrieval			No Reuse	
Information Sharing	Support the use of documents and data in a multi-user environment for use by an organization and its stakeholders	Digital Asset Services	Knowledge Management	Information Sharing			No Reuse	
Record Linking / Association	Support the correlation between logical data and information sets	Digital Asset Services	Records Management	Record Linking / Association			No Reuse	
Query	Support selection and retrieval of records ranked to optimize precision against recall	Support Services	Search	Query			No Reuse	
Access Control	Support the management of permissions for logging onto a computer, application, service, or network; includes user management and role/privilege management	Support Services	Security Management	Access Control			No Reuse	
Audit Trail Capture and Analysis	Support the identification and monitoring of activities within an application, system, or network	Support Services	Security Management	Audit Trail Capture and Analysis			No Reuse	

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
License Management	Support the purchase, upgrade and tracking of legal usage contracts for system software and applications	Support Services	Systems Management	License Management			No Reuse	
Remote Systems Control	Support the monitoring, administration and usage of applications and enterprise systems from locations outside of the immediate system environment	Support Services	Systems Management	Remote Systems Control			No Reuse	
Software Distribution	Support the propagation, installation and upgrade of written computer programs, applications and components	Support Services	Systems Management	Software Distribution			No Reuse	

a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in the column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:
To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Computers / Automation Management	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Dependent Technologies	
License Management	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Dependent Technologies	
Record Linking / Association	Component Framework	Data Interchange	Data Exchange	
Query	Component Framework	Data Interchange	Data Exchange	
Information Mapping / Taxonomy	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	
License Management	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	
Audit Trail Capture and Analysis	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	
Access Control	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	
Meta Data Management	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	
Data Warehouse	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	
Data Exchange	Component Framework	Security	Certificates / Digital Signatures	
Information Retrieval	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Content Rendering	
Information Retrieval	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Content Rendering	
Software Development	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	
Software Development	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Static Display	

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:
To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Access Control	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	
Remote Systems Control	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	
Data Exchange	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	
Software Distribution	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Data Recovery	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Data Recovery	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	
Information Retrieval	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	
Program / Project Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Data Mart	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Storage	
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers	
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers	
Loading and Archiving	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Peripherals	
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Peripherals	
Loading and Archiving	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Peripherals	
Loading and Archiving	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Peripherals	
Loading and Archiving	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Peripherals	
Loading and Archiving	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Peripherals	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Software Development	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Requirements Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Requirements Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Instrumentation and Testing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Instrumentation and Testing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Instrumentation and Testing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Instrumentation and Testing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Instrumentation and Testing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Instrumentation and Testing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Instrumentation and Testing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Customer / Account Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Dependent Platform	
Software Development	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Dependent Platform	
Data Integration	Service Platform and	Support Platforms	Dependent Platform	

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:
To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
	Infrastructure			
Software Development	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Dependent Platform	
Software Development	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Dependent Platform	
Data Exchange	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Dependent Platform	
Remote Systems Control	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Dependent Platform	
Configuration Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	

a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications

b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., USA.gov, Pay.Gov, etc)?	Yes

Exhibit 300: Part II: Planning, Acquisition and Performance Information

Section B: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?	Yes
a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan?	7/3/2008
b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?	No